

# **FISCAL NOTE**

## **SB 2135 - HB 2351**

January 28, 2002

**SUMMARY OF BILL:** Revises language relative to conditions or qualifications under which a farming operation is not considered to be a nuisance. The rebuttable presumption that a farming operation is not to be considered a nuisance may be overcome only if the person claiming a public or private nuisance establishes, by a preponderance of the evidence based on competent expert testimony, that the farm or farm operation does not conform to generally accepted agricultural practices.

### **ESTIMATED FISCAL IMPACT:**

**State Expenditures - Net Impact - Not Significant**

**Local Govt. Expenditures - Net Impact - Not Significant**

Any increase or decrease in litigation is not estimated to significantly impact state or local government expenditures.

### **CERTIFICATION:**

This is to duly certify that the information contained herein is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "James A. Davenport". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first letters of the first and last names being capitalized and prominent.

James A. Davenport, Executive Director

**SB 2135 - HB 2351**